COSC 325: Introduction to Machine Learning

Dr. Hector Santos-Villalobos

Lecture 14: Data Wrangling and Hyperparameter Tuning

Class Announcements

Homework/Quizzes:

No quiz this week. Homework #4 due 10/16

Course Project: Midterm Report due 10/27 Teaming issues. Please contact me.

Lectures: N/A

Exams: Next exam 11/21

Review

- Ensemble of techniques
- Ensemble of datasets
	- Different datasets -> Bagging
- They Reduce variance
- Perform well as long as only a few of the models make the same mistakes
- Boosting
	- Ensemble of weak learners
	- Easier to design
	- Computational efficient
- Random Forests

Today's Topics

Hyperparameter Tuning

ML Life Cycle Use Case / Application

Custering

Custering

Dispect segmentation

• Cancer detection • Clustering • Object segmentation • Control of pressure valve

Deployment

• Stress test • Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) • Model Monitoring • Data drift • Model Refresh

Machine Learning Category

• Supervised • Self-supervised • Semi-supervised • Reinforcement

Evaluation

• Bias/Variance Analysis

- Cross-Validation
- Performance Metric (Application)
- Explainability
- Fairness, Transparency, and Privacy

Data

• Data acquisition • Training, validation, test data split

- Data Wrangling • Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA)
- Data Scaling
- Data cleaning
- Feature extraction and selection

Machine Learning Technique

- Specific technique
- Linear Regression • Multi-layer Perceptrons (MLP)
- KNNs
- Objective Functions (ML Training)
- Hyperparameter tuning

ML Life Cycle Use Case / Application

Custering

Custering

Dispect segmentation

• Cancer detection • Clustering • Object segmentation • Control of pressure valve

Deployment

• Stress test • Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) • Model Monitoring • Data drift • Model Refresh

Machine Learning Category

• Supervised • Self-supervised • Semi-supervised • Reinforcement

Evaluation

• Bias/Variance Analysis

- Cross-Validation
- Performance Metric (Application)
- Explainability
- Fairness, Transparency, and Privacy

Data

• Data acquisition

- Training, validation, test data split • Data Wrangling
- Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA)
- Data Scaling
- Data cleaning
- Feature extraction and selection

Machine Learning Technique

- Specific technique
- Linear Regression • Multi-layer Perceptrons (MLP)
- KNNs
- Objective Functions (ML Training)
- Hyperparameter tuning

For in-depth discussion

Dr. Michaela Taufer: COSC 426 - Intro to Data Mining/Analytics

**New name: Data Engineering*

Data Wrangling Topics

- Basic Data Handling
- Preparing Training Data
	- Transformers (Data manipulation/Not DL Technique)
	- Pipelines

Models like data centered around zero

Normalization

- Give equal weight to all features
- E.g., for a hypothesis $\hat{y} = w_0 + w_1 x_1 + w_2 x_2$,
	- We initialize our weights w_i near zero
	- Then, if $x_2 \gg x_1$ it can take a while for the algorithm to find and appropriate weight w_1 to match the contributions of x_2 .
- For gradient-based techniques, normalized inputs prevent too large or too small gradients.

Normalization

- Min-Max: [0,1] range
	- ML technique is sensitive to feature scale (e.g., KNN, SVM, NNs).
	- Data is not normally distributed (e.g., uniform or skewed data).
	- Input features need to be bounded within a specific range
		- E.g., image processing, real-time systems

Normalization

- Min-Max: [0,1] range
	- ML technique is sensitive to feature scale (e.g., KNN, SVM, NNs).
	- Data is not normally distributed (e.g., uniform or skewed data).
	- Input features need to be bounded within a specific range
		- E.g., image processing, real-time systems
- Standardization: Mean μ is zero and standard deviation σ is one.
	- Data follows a Normal distribution
		- Allows comparing the features spread
	- Data variance is more important than the scale.
		- E.g., age in years vs. income in dollars
	- Algorithm assumes data centered around zero (e.g., L2 and L1 Regularization, Neural Networks Tanh activations, etc.)

Min-Max Example

$$
\bullet x^{(i)} = \frac{(x^{(i)} - x_{min})}{(x_{max} - x_{min})}
$$

• Training samples: $-x^{(1)} = 10$ cm \rightarrow class2 $-x^{(2)} = 20$ cm \rightarrow class2 $-x^{(3)} = 30 \text{ cm} \rightarrow \text{class}1$

Min-Max Example

$$
\cdot x^{(i)} = \frac{(x^{(i)} - x_{min})}{(x_{max} - x_{min})}
$$

$$
x_{min} = 10
$$

$$
x_{max} = 30
$$

• Training samples: $-x^{(1)} = 10 \, \text{cm} \rightarrow \text{class}2$ $-x^{(2)} = 20$ cm \rightarrow class2 $-x^{(3)} = 30 \, \text{cm} \rightarrow \text{class}1$

Min-Max Example

$$
x_{min} = 10
$$

\n
$$
x_{min} = 10
$$

\n
$$
x_{max} = 30
$$

\n**Training samples:**
\n
$$
-x^{(1)} = 10 \text{ cm} \rightarrow class2
$$

\n
$$
-x^{(2)} = 20 \text{ cm} \rightarrow class2
$$

\n
$$
-x^{(3)} = 30 \text{ cm} \rightarrow class1
$$

\n
$$
x^{(1)} = \frac{10 - 10}{30 - 10} = 0
$$

\n
$$
x^{(2)} = \frac{20 - 10}{30 - 10} = \frac{10}{20} = 0.5
$$

\n
$$
x^{(3)} = \frac{30 - 10}{30 - 10} = \frac{20}{30} = 1.0
$$

30 − 10

20

Standardization Example

$$
\bullet x^{(i)} = \frac{(x^{(i)} - \mu_x)}{\sigma_x}
$$

$$
\mu_x = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i x^{(i)} = \frac{1}{3} (10 + 20 + 30) = 20
$$

$$
s_x = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n - 1} \sum_i (x^{(i)} - \mu_x)^2} = 10
$$

• Training samples: $-x^{(1)} = 10 \, \text{cm} \rightarrow \text{class}2$ $-x^{(2)} = 20$ cm \rightarrow class2 $-x^{(3)} = 30 \, \text{cm} \rightarrow \text{class}1$

Standardization Example

Standardization Example

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\bullet \ \mathcal{X}^{(i)} &= \frac{(x^{(i)} - \mu_x)}{\sigma_x} & \mu_x &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_i x^{(i)} = \frac{1}{3} (10 + 20 + 30) = 20 \\
\bullet \ \text{Training samples:} \\
&- x^{(1)} = 10 \, \text{cm} \rightarrow \text{class2} \\
&- x^{(2)} = 20 \, \text{cm} \rightarrow \text{class2} \\
&- x^{(3)} = 30 \, \text{cm} \rightarrow \text{class1} \\
&\text{Normalized} \\
\hline\n\mathcal{X}^{(3)} &= \frac{30 - 20}{10} = 1.0\n\end{aligned}
$$

What about validation and test sets?

- From the training set
	- $-\mu_x = 20$
	- $s_x = 10$
- Standardization of Validation samples:

$$
-x_v^{(1)} = 13 \, \text{cm} \rightarrow \text{class2}
$$
\n
$$
-x_v^{(2)} = 15 \, \text{cm} \rightarrow \text{class2}
$$
\n
$$
-x_v^{(3)} = 28 \, \text{cm} \rightarrow \text{class1}
$$

What about validation and test sets?

• From the training set $-\mu_x = 20$ $- s_x = 10$ • Standardization of Validation samples: $-x_v^{(1)} = 13$ cm \rightarrow class2 $-x_v^{(2)} = 15 \, \text{cm} \rightarrow \text{class2}$ Mormalized $-x_v^{(3)} = 28$ cm \rightarrow class1 $x_{\nu}^{(1)} =$ 13 − 20 10 $=-0.7$ $x_v^{(2)} =$ 15 − 20 10 $=-0.5$ $x_v^{(3)} =$ $28 - 20$ 10 $= 0.8$ We use Training set normalization parameters on Validation and Test sets

Standardization

Standardization

Standardization

Pop Quiz

True or False. To Min-Max normalize the validation set, we compute the set's minimum x_val_min and maximum x_val_max and apply the formula below to each sample in the set.

x_val_norm=(x_val_sample-x_val_min)/(x_val_max-x_val_min)

A. True

B. False

SkLearn Pipelines

Image source: Dr. Sebastian Raschka, Machine Learning Course

SkLearn Pipelines

- End-to-end data preprocessing and model training/testing
- Consistent application of data transformations and manipulations
	- Replacing missing values
	- Normalization
	- Encoding
- Avoid skipping steps or using the wrong parameters

Hyperparameter
Tuning

Hyperparameters

- Learning rate α
- Mini-batch size
- Decision Trees
	- Tree depth
	- Bagging Yes/No
	- Size of Forest
- Polynomial Regression Degree
- Regularization λ
- Learning rate decay

- Learning rate α
- Mini-batch size
- Decision Trees
	- Tree depth
	- Bagging Yes/No
	- Size of Forest
- Polynomial Regression Degree
- Regularization λ
- Learning rate decay

What not to do?

- Learning rate α
- Mini-batch size
- Decision Trees
	- Tree depth
	- Bagging Yes/No
	- Size of Forest
- Polynomial Regression Degree
- Regularization λ
- Learning rate decay

What not to do?

- Learning rate α
- Mini-batch size
- Decision Trees
	- Tree depth
	- Bagging Yes/No
	- Size of Forest
- Polynomial Regression Degree
- Regularization λ
- Learning rate decay

What not to do?

Hyperparameter #2

- Learning rate α
- Mini-batch size
- Decision Trees
	- Tree depth
	- Bagging Yes/No
	- Size of Forest
- Polynomial Regression Degree
- Regularization λ
- Learning rate decay

What not to do?

Non-division by zero ϵ

- Learning rate α
- Mini-batch
- \cdot Decision T
	- $-$ Tree dept
- We will test 10 values of a low priority parameter without changing a high-priority parameter.
- Bagging Yes/No
- Size of Forest
- Polynomial Regression Degree
- Regularization λ
- Learning rate decay

What not to do?

Non-division by zero ϵ

- Learning rate α
- Mini-batch
- \cdot Decision T
	- $-$ Tree dept
	- Bagging Yes/No
	- Size of Forest
- Polynomial Regression Degree

Randomly sample the

hyperparameter space.

- Regularization λ
- Learning rate decay

A better approach

Non-division by zero ϵ

- Learning rate α
- Mini-batch size
- Decision Trees
	- Tree depth
	- Bagging Yes/No
	- Size of Forest
- Polynomial Regression Degree
- Regularization λ
- Learning rate decay

- Learning rate α
- Mini-batch size
- Decision Trees
	- Tree depth
	- Bagging Yes/No
	- Size of Forest
- Polynomial Regression Degree
- Regularization λ
- Learning rate decay

Scaling Hyperparameter Search Space

Scaling Hyperparameter Search Space

Scaling Hyperparameter Search Space

• Learning rate α

Scaling Hyperparameter Search Space

• Instead do $\alpha = np$. $power(10, np, rand(range(-3,0)))$

Pop Quiz

Which of the following is a model parameter? (Select all that apply)

A. Decision Tree Split Features and Thresholds

B. Tree Depth

C. Logistic regression hypothesis coefficients

D. Learning rate

Notebook Time

Review

- Basic Data Wrangling Steps
	- Missing values
	- Scaling
	- Encoding of categorical values
- Pipelines
- Hyperparameter tuning
	- GridSearch
	- RandomSearch

Next Lecture

- Feature Selection
- Model Explainability

Exam 1 Review

Overall Exam Statistics

Consider a logistic regression model with L2 regularization (Ridge). If the penalty parameter $\lambda = 2.0$ and the parameter vector is $\theta = [1.0, -1.0, 2.0]$, calculate the L2 regularization term $R(W)$. $=6$

Where the cost is $J(W) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{L}(y, \hat{y}) + \frac{\lambda}{m} R(W)$

We trained four regularized logistic regression models. Below, we show each model's training and validation sets cost vs. iterations plots. Select the models requiring higher regularization.

If we have a linear machine learning problem with true hypothesis $f(x)$ and $f(x)$ is known. What technique guarantees the lowest error between the targets y and corresponding model predictions \hat{y} ?

If the impurity of a parent node is $I_H(D_p)=0.76$, and we found a feature and threshold that splits the parent dataset in a left child node with samples from a single class and a right child node with an equal number of samples per class. The probability of the samples landing in the left and right nodes is 0.4 and 0.6, respectively. What is the information gain for this split?

$$
IG(D_p, V) = I(D_p) - \frac{N_{Left}}{N_p} I(D_{Left}) - \frac{N_{Right}}{N_p} I(D_{Right}) = 0.16
$$

 $= 0.91829$

For the following section of a tree:

 $-\frac{4}{6}$

 $\frac{1}{6}$ log₂

 $\left(\frac{4}{6}\right) - \left(1 - \frac{4}{6}\right) \log_2\left(1 - \frac{4}{6}\right)$

What is the Entropy of the left child node? $=0.92$

$$
I_H = -p \log_2(p) - (1 - p) \log_2(1 - p)
$$

For the following section of a tree:

 $-\frac{4}{6}$ $\frac{1}{6}$ log₂ $\left(\frac{4}{6}\right) - \left(1 - \frac{4}{6}\right) \log_2\left(1 - \frac{4}{6}\right)$ $= 0.91829$ Entropy of left or right child:

$$
I_H(D|V) = \sum_{v \in V} p(V = v) I_H(D|V = v)
$$

 $V \in \{Red, Blue\}$

=0.92

What is the Conditional Entropy of the child nodes?

$$
I_H = -p \log_2(p) - (1 - p) \log_2(1 - p)
$$

Given the input matrix X with n samples and m features and the target vector y below.

$$
X=\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 \end{bmatrix}, \ y=\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}
$$

What are the features values for sample 3. Assumes sample index $i\in [1,n].$

Helper Slides